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Abstract
The authors review contemporary indications for neurosurgical interventions in the management of chronic and refractory mental disorder, the procedures involved, their efficacy and known adverse effects. These data are presented within the context of a brief historical overview of the use of neurosurgery for mental disorder. In addition to a consideration of neurosurgical procedures that rely on the creation of putative therapeutic lesions, we also review two novel, non-destructive neurosurgical electrostimulation treatments that may represent viable alternatives to conventional ablative neurosurgery: vagus nerve stimulation and deep brain stimulation.

In 1976, the World Health Organization defined psychosurgery as ‘the selective surgical removal or destruction of nerve pathways for the purposes of influencing behaviour’. Implicit within this definition was the assumption that so-called ‘psychosurgery’ held as its primary purpose the modification of behaviour by its effects on psychological processes. This no longer reflects appropriately the therapeutic aims of neurosurgical intervention for mental disorder. Such procedures are, today, more accurately described as neurosurgery for mental disorder. Neurosurgery for mental disorder has been defined by the Royal College of Psychiatrists (2000: p. 11) as

’a surgical procedure for the destruction of brain tissue for the purposes of alleviating specific mental disorders carried out by a stereotactic or other method capable of making an accurate placement of the lesion’.

This definition emphasises important conceptual shifts, both in the manner in which psychological processes are now considered to be located within, and a product of, specific brain circuitry; and in the explicit focus on the alleviation of the symptoms of specific mental disorders.

Although it would be hoped that successful neurosurgery for mental disorder might indeed result in significant behavioural change associated with altered symptom burden, the primary aim is to engender a release of adaptive behaviour, not a suppression of an ‘undesirable’ or ‘unwanted’ behavioural repertoire, as has been extensively documented following earlier psychosurgical approaches.

Modern neurosurgery for mental disorder

A questionnaire survey of UK centres offering psychosurgery between 1974 and 1976, covering 431 patients, calculated that the yearly rate of leucotomy (a procedure that resulted in the widespread destruction of white matter connections between the frontal lobes and other brain areas) in the UK at that time was 3.4 operations per million population aged over 15. Depression was the most common indication, followed by anxiety, with ‘violence’ coming third (Barraclough & Mitchell-Heggs, 1978). Within a relatively short period, there has been a dramatic reduction in rates of neurosurgery for mental disorder, a major change in both the selection of target sites and the techniques employed, and a contraction of the recognised indications for treatment.

The UK centre with the greatest clinical experience of neurosurgery for mental disorder, the Geoffrey Knight Unit (London), ceased service provision a number of years ago. With the closure of this service,
the most commonly performed neurosurgical
treatment for mental disorder in the UK – stereo-
tactic subcaudate tractotomy – ceased to be available.
Only two centres in the UK today offer neurosurgery
for mental disorder. The service based at Ninewells
Hospital in Dundee performed 33 procedures
between 1990 and 2001 (Matthews & ElJamel,
2003). The service based at the University Hospital
of Wales in Cardiff performed 39 procedures
between January 1994 and June 2000 (Royal College
indications for neurosurgery are chronic, treatment-
refractory depressive disorder (including bipolar
depression) and obsessive-compulsive disorder.
Some international centres, for example Stockholm’s
Karolinska Institute, also offer neurosurgery for non-
obsessive–compulsive anxiety disorders.

Treatment-refractory depression,
obsessive–compulsive disorder
and anxiety disorders

In Western nations, depression in adults has a
12-month prevalence of 9.3% (Lindeman et al, 2000)
and a lifetime prevalence of about 18% (Kessler et al,
1994). It is usually a recurrent disorder and it is often
chronic. Treatment costs for depression and anxiety
in the USA have been estimated at $42.3 billion in
1993 and $43.7 billion in 1999 (Greenberg et al,
1993, 1999). Approximately 12% of patients will
experience chronic (lasting more than 24 months)
and unremitting symptoms despite treatment (Judd,
1997).

Anxiety has a prevalence rate of up to 17.2%
(Regier et al, 1993) and, in many cases, anxiety
disorders can be chronic and disabling. Obsessive–
compulsive disorder has a lifetime prevalence of
2.2–3.1% (Bebbington, 1998).

Long-term follow-up studies suggest that 48% of
patients will still have ‘clinically relevant’
obsessive–compulsive disorder after 30 years, with
a correlation between clinical symptoms and social
functioning (Skog & Skoog, 1999).

The increasing awareness of the problems of
treatment resistance, coupled with the severity and
chronicity of affective and anxiety disorders in some
patients, has meant that neurosurgery for mental
disorder has remained an option for a small number of
patients for whom all other treatments have failed.

Target sites for surgery

Compared with the relatively indiscriminate lesions
of psychosurgery, where the aim was to divide
substantial tracts of white matter connecting frontal
lobes with other parts of the brain, the lesions
generated by modern neurosurgery for mental
disorder target specific sites in the limbic system.
Historically (Kelly, 1985), the main target areas have
been as follows:

- the frontolimbic connections, concentrated in
  the posterior orbital cortex and cingulate
gyrus;
- the limbic circuitry, including the Papez circuit
  and the basolateral circuit; the Papez circuit,
  originally proposed as the mediating neural
  substrate for emotional responses, runs from
  the hippocampus, via the fornix, to the
  mamillary bodies in the hypothalamus, and
  back to the hippocampus via the anterior
  thalamic nuclei, cingulate cortex and para-
hippocampal gyrus; the basolateral circuit is
  associated with social behaviour, and connects
  the prefrontal cortex and temporal cortex with
  limbic regions;
- the limbic cortex, for example, the amygdala,
  hippocampus and cingulate gyrus.

The pathophysiology of obsessive–compulsive
disorder is thought to involve dysfunction of
frontostriatal–pallidal–thalamic circuits within the
brain (for a review, see Saxena et al, 1998). Similar
circuits are also implicated in the pathology of
depression. Neuropsychological studies have
demonstrated abnormalities of the orbitofrontal
cortex and anterior cingulate regions, a hypothesis
supported by neuroimaging studies. Symptom
provocation is correlated with hypermetabolism in
these areas (Breiter et al, 1996).

The place of neurosurgery
in modern psychiatric practice

Neurosurgery has been practised for millennia
(Box 1). Psychosurgery, and subsequently neuro-
surgery for mental disorder, have always been
contentious, particularly during the height of the
antipsychiatry movement of the 1960s and ’70s.
Psychosurgery has been frequently portrayed in the
popular arts as a punitive means of social control
(One Flew Over the Cuckoo’s Nest and A Clockwork
Orange, for example). Much of the hostility towards
neurosurgery for mental disorder has resulted from
the historical application of crude procedures,
together with a lack of rigorous investigation
regarding effectiveness and a lack of detailed
assessment of adverse effects on personality and
cognition.

Recent recommendations from both the Clinical
Resource and Audit Group (CRAG) and the Royal
College of Psychiatrists propose that neurosurgery
Neurosurgery for mental disorder retains an important role in treating a small number of carefully selected patients (CRAG Working Group on Mental Illness, 1996; Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2000). Although previous reviews have recognised methodological difficulties, a systematic review and meta-analysis have yet to be performed.

### Indications for surgery

Three broad categories of psychiatric disorder may benefit from modern neurosurgery: obsessive-compulsive disorder, anxiety disorders and depressive disorders. For each category, it is generally accepted that only patients with illness of

---

**Box 1 Milestones in the history of neurosurgery for mental disorder**

- **c. 8000 BC** Trepanation practised in many cultures worldwide. Archaeological evidence shows that some patients survived. However, in the absence of evidence that these procedures were necessarily instituted for the treatment of mental disorder, there are no firm grounds to consider them a form of psychosurgery.

- **1891** Gottlieb Burckhardt reports on six patients, described as ‘demented and aggressive’, undergoing bilateral cortical excision. Two patients developed epilepsy (one died) and one suffered motor weakness.

- **1908** Robert Henry Clarke and Victor Horsley describe the principles of stereotaxis for recording cerebellar function in monkeys.

- **1935** Jacobsen and Fulton report that the ‘frustration’ response to the withholding of anticipated reward in chimpanzees is lost after prefrontal cortex ablation.

- **1936** Egas Moniz, a Portuguese neurologist working with neurosurgical colleague Almeida Lima, treats 20 patients with severe anxiety, obsessional behaviour and irrational fears. They sever fibres connecting subcortical areas and the frontal lobes — the ‘prefrontal leucotomy’. It is alleged that one-third improved, one-third worsened and one-third were no different. Egas Moniz is the first to use the term ‘psychosurgery’.

- **1936** Neurologist Walter Freeman and neurosurgeon James Watts begin to treat patients with depression in the USA, using bilateral frontal leucotomy, which became known as the Freeman–Watts lobotomy.

- **1940** Peyton develops the ‘frontal lobotomy’, involving extensive tissue destruction. The procedure carried a high rate of postoperative epilepsy.

- **1945** Walter Freeman develops the transorbital leucotomy (‘ice pick lobotomy’), which involved inserting an instrument under the eyelids, through the roof of the orbit and into the orbitofrontal cortex. A quick sweeping motion cut the cortical tissue and related frontothalamic tracts. It was essentially a non-sterile procedure, could be performed with minimal anaesthesia (often two electroconvulsive treatments were used) and was widely adopted.

- **1947** Ernest Spiegel, a neurologist, and Henry Wycis, a neurosurgeon, perform the first stereotactic neurosurgical operation in a patient with psychiatric disorder, in the USA.

- **1948** William Scoville develops ‘orbital undercutting’, with the aim of severing the medial fibres connecting the frontal lobes with the thalamus.

- **1949** Egas Moniz wins the Nobel Prize for Physiology and Medicine for his work in establishing leucotomy as a procedure for treating psychotic illness, along with Walter Hess (who received it jointly for unrelated work). In the ensuing years, leucotomy rates rise.

- **1962** Foltz and White perform the first open anterior cingulotomy.

- **1964** Geoffrey Knight develops the subcaudate tractotomy, an extensive lesion of orbitofrontal cortex and frontostriatal thalamic tracts by focal irradiation.

- **1968** Lars Leksell develops the ‘gamma knife’ — a stereotactic method for creating lesions by targeted irradiation without the need for cranial burr holes.

- **1972** Lars Leksell performs the thermal anterior capsulotomy as a focal method of disconnecting ventral and medial frontal cortex from subcortical and limbic structures.

- **1973** Desmond Kelly and Alan Richardson perform the first limbic leucotomy.
substantial chronicity and treatment refractoriness should be considered for neurosurgery. Other procedures, such as bilateral amygdalotomy, thalamotomy and hypothalamotomy, were performed during the 1960s and 70s for the treatment of aggression and hypersexuality, but these conditions are no longer considered to represent legitimate indications for neurosurgery.

**Contraindications to surgery**

Provided the diagnosis is secure, there are few absolute contraindications to neurosurgery for mental disorder other than incapacity to provide informed consent. In all cases, such surgery can only be offered following careful and detailed consideration of the potential costs and benefits to the individual on a case-by-case basis. Where affective or obsessional symptoms are the product of active organic or degenerative brain disease, or where pervasive developmental disorder is likely, neurosurgery would not be considered. A history of cerebrovascular disease or pre-existing epilepsy does not necessarily preclude neurosurgery for mental disorder. There is no evidence that personality disorders, anorexia nervosa or schizophrenia respond to neurosurgery, and patients with these disorders should not be considered unless the aim of the surgery is restricted to chronic intractable affective or obsessional comorbid symptoms. Difficulties can arise in determining the suitability of patients where illness onset was at a sufficiently early age to have had an adverse impact on personality development. Neurosurgery for mental disorder is contraindicated if the patient is not fit for surgery because of a tendency to bleed, local infection or a high anaesthetic risk.

**Neurosurgical procedures**

Four main procedures have been used to treat mental disorder, although only the first two are currently performed in the UK. Each procedure is conducted bilaterally:

- anterior capsulotomy
- anterior cingulotomy
- stereotactic subcaudate tractotomy
- limbic leucotomy.

Most modern procedures use an electrical current to generate heat (radio-frequency thermo-coagulation) or employ gamma radiation to ablate target areas (the ‘gamma knife’). The gamma knife uses 201 distinct cobalt gamma rays that converge to deliver radiation to an accuracy of about 0.5 mm, destroying tissue only at the convergence of the beams. Among earlier techniques that are no longer employed, one of the most favoured was the implantation of radioactive yttrium seeds, which caused highly localised radioactive damage to target brain areas through the emission of beta radiation for a limited time before decaying to inert zirconium. This was the primary technique used to generate the lesions of the stereotactic subcaudate tractotomy procedure.

**Anterior capsulotomy**

Anterior capsulotomy (also known as ACAPS) may be performed under general or local anaesthesia, with intravenous sedation. Lesions are generated by thermal damage or focal gamma radiation. The lesions are targeted within the fibres connecting the ventromedial and orbitofrontal cortex and anterior cingulate gyrus with the thalamus, amygdala and hippocampus (Fig. 1). These fibres pass through the anterior one-third of the anterior limb of the internal capsule. Capsulotomy interrupts broadly similar frontothalamic fibres of connection, as did the stereotactic subcaudate tractotomy. Indications for anterior capsulotomy vary across Europe. In Sweden, it is used for generalised anxiety disorder, agoraphobia with panic disorder, and obsessive–compulsive disorder, whereas in the UK it is mainly used for depression and obsessive–compulsive disorder.
Anterior cingulotomy

Anterior cingulotomy (or ACING) may be performed under general or local anaesthesia, with intravenous sedation. The targets for cingulotomy are the supracallosal fibres of the cingulum bundle (part of the Papez circuit) as it travels through the anterior cingulate gyrus (Fig. 2). The lesion procedure also results in damage to a localised area of anterior cingulate cortex. The target site for the lesion is 20–25 mm posterior to the anterior horn of the lateral ventricles, 7 mm from the midline (Spangler et al., 1996).

The procedure was initially developed for the treatment of intractable pain, but its other indications include anxiety disorders, depressive disorders and obsessive-compulsive disorder.

Stereotactic subcaudate tractotomy

Stereotactic subcaudate tractotomy represents a stereotactic modification of Scoville’s ‘orbital undercutting’ method, and was developed by Geoffrey Knight in the UK (Knight, 1965). It is performed under general anaesthesia and involves the division of fibres connecting the orbital cortex to subcortical and limbic areas (e.g. thalamus, basal ganglia, amygdala). Lesions are placed in the white matter of the substantia innominata, below the head of the caudate nucleus. Typically, the lesions would be created using radioactive yttrium-90 rods inserted using stereotactic guidance. This procedure has been used to treat depression, obsessive–compulsive disorder, anxiety disorder and chronic pain, although it is no longer offered within the UK.

Limbic leucotomy

This procedure was first developed by Kelly and Richardson in 1973. It is performed under local anaesthesia with intravenous sedation. The fibres targeted are those of the anterior cingulate cortex, the cingulum bundle and frontostriatolimbic circuits.

Essentially, limbic leucotomy is a combination of anterior cingulotomy and stereotactic subcaudate tractotomy, although the frontal lesions are slightly smaller than those conventionally created using stereotactic subcaudate tractotomy. Its main indications are for depression, obsessive–compulsive disorder and anxiety disorder. Its place in contemporary neurosurgery for mental disorder may be as a treatment alternative where anterior cingulotomy has resulted in non-sustained or partial benefit.

Outcomes

When assessing outcomes, it is important to remember that research on neurosurgery for mental disorder has been hindered by numerous methodological difficulties – some of which have remained unresolved. Challenges include: limitations of diagnostic processes and the rigour of classification systems; low numbers treated at any single site and small populations in many studies; the ethical considerations in conducting sham or other control procedures; difficulties in identifying appropriate control groups; and the logistical challenges that tertiary referral centres experience when following up patients over many years. For these reasons, at least in part, research into neurosurgery for mental disorder tends to have presented incomplete data-sets, with an absence of independently derived outcome measures. There has probably also been a marked positive publication bias.

Outcome by procedure

Defining success as a score of 1 or 2 on the Clinical Global Impression – Improvement Score (CGI–I; Guy, 1976), Spangler et al. (1996) collated composite results for published reports up to 1996 (Table 1).

Outcome by condition

Table 2 shows a summary of the Royal College of Psychiatrists’ (2000) report into neurosurgery for mental disorder.
Depression

More recent studies include one report of 6 patients with major depressive disorder (part of a group of 21 patients) who underwent limbic leucotomy. Of these 6 individuals, 2 (33%) met criteria for response when measured as a 50% reduction in Beck Depression Inventory score (Montoya et al., 2002).

Bipolar disorder

There are few studies looking at bipolar disorder specifically. Lovett & Shaw (1987) followed up nine patients for 5 years after stereotactic subcaudate tractotomy, showing a reduction in frequency of mood cycling. A greater response to drugs was reported after the surgery. Poynton et al. (1988) reported positive improvements in mood cycling in nine female patients after stereotactic subcaudate tractotomy, but 3 (33%) patients had ‘mild to moderate impairment of cognitive function’.

Obsessive-compulsive disorder

In the years since the College’s report (Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2000), there have been further studies of the use of neurosurgery for obsessive-compulsive disorder. A study of 44 patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder undergoing stereotactic cingulotomy reported that 19 (44%) demonstrated a response or partial response (Dougherty et al., 2002). There was an average reduction of 28.7% on the Yale–Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (Y–BOCS). Montoya et al. (2002) report that, of 15 patients who underwent limbic leucotomy, 6 patients (42%) were ‘responders’ on the basis of improvement on the CGI, and 5 patients (33%) achieved a 35% reduction in their Y–BOCS score. A more recent study of anterior cingulotomy (n = 14) revealed an average improvement of 36% on the Y–BOCS after 12 months (Kim et al., 2003).

Anxiety disorder

A recent study of thermocapsulotomy in 26 patients with generalised anxiety disorder, social phobia or panic disorder reported a response in 23 of 26 patients (92%) after 1 year, with 12 of 18 patients (67%) showing response at long-term (mean = 13 years) follow-up. However, 5 patients (28%) had clinical symptoms indicating frontal lobe dysfunction, suggesting that procedure- and disorder-related factors may have featured in these cases (Rück et al., 2003).

Side-effects

Headache (responsive to analgesia) and nausea, typically lasting a few days, are common following neurosurgical procedures for mental disorder. Confusion occurs in about 10% of cases (Bridges et al., 1994), and more frequently in those aged over 50. It usually lasts for a few days post-operatively, but can last up to 1 month before resolving. Incontinence (both urinary and faecal) occurred in up to 30% of patients after bimedial leucotomy (Tan et al., 1971). It has been reported after limbic leucotomy and anterior capsulotomy, but rates are lower. It usually resolves within a week or so, but it can persist for up to a month.

Insomnia is not uncommon and transient somnolence may also occur. Apathy and anergia are often reported in the post-operative period in up to 24% of patients (Montoya et al., 2002), in some cases enduring for over 12 months (Mitchell-Heggs et al., 1976).

Seizures occur in about 1–6% of cases (Ström-Olsen & Carlisle, 1971; Ballantine et al., 1987; Spangler et al., 1996), rarely progressing to epilepsy. In some cases, the seizures only occur in the first week post-operatively. Patients are advised not to drive for 6 months after the procedure.

Weight gain has been reported in 12.5% (3/24) after bimedial leucotomy (Tan et al., 1971), 6.2% (13/210) after stereotactic tractotomy (Ström-Olsen & Carlisle, 1971), 21% (3/14) after anterior capsulotomy (Kim et al., 2003) and 5.6% (1/18) after anterior cingulotomy (Baer et al., 1995). In many cases, the weight gain resolves by 3 months, but the gain can...
Suicide rates per procedure are as follows:

- Illness, often with a history of suicide attempts: up to 15% in the case of subcaudate tractotomy. Most patients undergoing neurosurgery for mental disorder have had chronic severe illness, often with a history of suicide attempts.

- Surgery for mental disorder: between 6% and 15%, with an overall rate of 10%.

Reported suicide rates in depression range from 6% to 15%. Most of the patients undergoing neurosurgery for mental disorder have had chronic severe illness, often with a history of suicide attempts. Suicide rates per procedure are as follows:

- Anterior capsulotomy: 4% (Rück et al, 2003)
- Anterior cingulotomy: 2% (Dougherty et al, 2002; 9% (Ballantine et al, 1987)
- Subcaudate tractotomy: 1% (Bridges et al, 1994)
- Limbic leucotomy: 10% (Montoya et al, 2002).

Personality change

Personality change is often quoted to occur after neurosurgery for mental disorder, and undoubtedly it occurred after the indiscriminate and crude procedures of the first half of the 20th century. Whether it happens now is less certain. Valid, sensitive measures of personality that are designed for repeated testing are unavailable. Also, it is helpful to consider that some apparent personality changes described post-surgery can be due to the return of premorbid personality function. Recovery after many years of illness can pose as great a challenge to the established roles of carers and relatives as it does for the patient.

In a series of more than 1300 patients who underwent subcaudate tractotomy, there was no report of significant personality change (Bridges et al, 1994). ‘Significant’ was not defined. Goktepe et al (1975) reported rates of personality change of 6.7% when reported by relatives, but it is difficult to differentiate between irreversible personality damage and unmasking of premorbid personality traits.

Suicide

Reported suicide rates in depression range from 6% to 15%. Most of the patients undergoing neurosurgery for mental disorder have had chronic severe illness, often with a history of suicide attempts. Suicide rates per procedure are as follows:

- Anterior capsulotomy: 4% (Rück et al, 2003)
- Anterior cingulotomy: 2% (Dougherty et al, 2002; 9% (Ballantine et al, 1987)
- Subcaudate tractotomy: 1% (Bridges et al, 1994)
- Limbic leucotomy: 10% (Montoya et al, 2002).

Who should be referred for neurosurgery?

Adequate treatment trials are those that have involved prescription of drugs shown to be effective, at doses at or above the British National Formulary (BNF) dose range, for at least 6 weeks in depression and 12–16 weeks in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Patients should also have undergone trials of appropriate psychological therapy. In the case of depression, patients should also have had at least two separate courses of electroconvulsive treatment (Matthews & Eljamal, 2003). For most patients, this means at least 5 years of continuous, vigorous treatment of their illness, using a combination of pharmacological, psychological and socially based treatment strategies.

Post-surgery

Most patients spend at least 2–3 weeks in hospital following neurosurgery for mental disorder. Thereafter, they return to their hospital or service of referral for a period of agreed rehabilitation. Unless comprehensive rehabilitation plans have been agreed, surgery will not be carried out. For the majority of patients, response and/or recovery following neurosurgery for mental disorder (if it takes place) is slow, although most patients who do eventually demonstrate a clinical response will do so within the first 9–12 months. Some patients have difficulty with the lack of dramatic response, given that they have been unwell for so long, and the recovery phase may involve set-backs.

Like other providers of neurosurgery for mental disorder, we believe that, for some patients, neurosurgery modifies responsiveness to other ‘standard’ treatments such that they may merit a revisit during the post-operative period. Whenever possible, patients at Ninewells Hospital undergo detailed review 12 and 24 months after the procedure. Longer-term follow-up, although desirable, is not always possible. Following surgery, a shared-care arrangement is negotiated such that the neurosurgery for mental disorder (NMD) service retains an interest and involvement in ongoing management.

New developments in functional neurosurgery

Neurosurgical interventions for psychiatric disorders have always been influenced by advances in other therapeutic areas. Recently, two potentially attractive developments have emerged from the
Neurocybernetic Prosthesis (NCP) vagus nerve using a commercial device, the stimulation involves stimulation of the left cervical nerve in the neck with the intention of modifying activity in central brain circuitry. Deep brain stimulation, extensively used in the management of intractable movement disorders, entails direct electrical stimulation and modulation of specific brain areas. Both procedures are potentially reversible and do not involve the destruction of brain tissue. As the stimulation can be switched on or off, it is, potentially, possible to conduct relatively pure double-blind controlled studies of efficacy.

Vagus nerve stimulation

Neuroscientists have tried to develop non-invasive methods of stimulating the brain for over a century. Vagus nerve stimulation was postulated as a method of stimulating higher brain activity as early as 1938. The first human vagus nerve stimulation implant was performed in 1988 for treatment of epilepsy. Since that time, the procedure has become established for treatment-resistant, partial-onset seizure disorder, and over 15,000 patients worldwide had received implants by mid-2003.

The vagus nerve

The vagus nerve is not only (as most will recall from medical school days) a parasympathetic efferent nerve - around 80% of its fibres are afferent sensory fibres transmitting information to the brain. There are sensory afferent connections of the vagus nerve in the nucleus tractus solitarius that, in turn, send ascending projections to the forebrain, mainly through the parabrachial nucleus and locus ceruleus. Further connections offer potential routes of communication with the amygdala, hypothalamus, insula, thalamus, orbitofrontal cortex and other important limbic regions linked to mood regulation.

Practicalities of vagus nerve stimulation

In humans almost all reference to vagus nerve stimulation involves stimulation of the left cervical vagus nerve using a commercial device, the NeuroCybernetic Prosthesis (NCP®) system, manufactured by Firma Cyberonics Inc. (Webster, Texas, USA). Vagus nerve stimulation has been available commercially for treatment of resistant, partial-onset epileptic seizures since 1994 in Europe and 1997 in the USA. The procedure involves subcutaneous implantation of a pulse generator similar to a cardiac pacemaker in the left anterior chest wall. Bipolar electrodes are wrapped around the left vagus nerve in the neck, near to the carotid artery, and are connected to the stimulus generator. Thereafter, the treatment involves an intermittent stimulation of the afferent fibres within the vagus nerve, with the intensity and frequency of generator firing being controllable through the use of a telemetric wand connected to a personal computer.

Outcomes in treatment-resistant depression

Two multi-centre open pilot studies have been performed looking at treatment of refractory depression using the NCP® system (Rush et al., 2000; Sackeim et al., 2001). Combining the two studies, 18 of 59 subjects (31%) were deemed to have shown a 'clinical response' within 12 weeks (defined as a ≥50% reduction in score on the 28-item Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD28)). Of these, 9 (15%) met criteria for 'complete response' (HRSD28 ≤ 10). Three (5%) participants worsened during the treatment period. A follow-up study found that 13 of 28 subjects (46%) met criteria for 'clinical response' after 12 months of vagus nerve stimulation, 10 of whom were also 'responders' at 12 weeks (Marangell et al., 2002). Early indications from these open, non-controlled studies suggest that vagus nerve stimulation may be most effective in patients with less chronic and treatment-refractory forms of depression.

Adverse effects

Treatment-related adverse effects usually relate directly to times when the vagus nerve is being stimulated. Hoarseness is common, but throat or neck pain, cough, dyspnoea and headache can also occur. Marangell et al. (2002) reported significant reduction in side-effects over the course of 12 months' stimulation and also that no patient discontinued the stimulation because of adverse effects. Reducing the intensity or frequency of stimulation may improve adverse effects. Patients are also supplied with magnets that can turn off stimulation temporarily when held over the pulse generator.

The only reports of cardiac effects are of 6 instances of asystole lasting 10–20 s during implantation and first stimulation, none of which resulted in long-term sequelae. There have been isolated reports of hypomania occurring during vagus nerve stimulation (Marangell et al., 2002).

The future for vagus nerve stimulation

Vagus nerve stimulation remains an experimental treatment and definitive treatment outcome studies are awaited. The long-term effects of the procedure,
and its place in the treatment armamentarium, are uncertain, although initial signs are encouraging and the adverse effect profile appears to be favourable.

**Deep brain stimulation**

Deep brain stimulation is an established treatment method for movement disorders, especially Parkinson’s disease, where stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus or the globus pallidus can alleviate symptoms. Deep brain stimulation has also been used to treat chronic pain, and it may be helpful for patients with intractable epilepsy (Loddenkemper et al, 2001).

Experience of deep brain stimulation as a form of neurosurgery for mental disorder has confirmed that chronic obsessive–compulsive disorder symptoms can be temporarily alleviated by electrical stimulation of the anterior limbs of the internal capsules (Nuttin et al, 1999).

**Practicalities of deep brain stimulation**

Electrodes are implanted bilaterally in the anterior limbs of the internal capsule under anaesthesia using stereotactic guidance, positioning being confirmed by post-operative computerised tomography or magnetic resonance imaging. The stimulation parameters are optimised in the weeks after surgery on the basis of symptomatic reduction in obsessive thoughts or anxiety and improvements in mood. Battery-operated programmable generators are then implanted subcutaneously in the abdomen and connected to the stimulating electrodes by subcutaneous wires.

**Outcomes in treatment-resistant obsessive–compulsive disorder**

By mid-2003, about 20 patients worldwide had received deep brain stimulation implants in the anterior internal capsule for treatment-resistant obsessive–compulsive disorder (Gabriëls et al, 2003). Follow-up of four patients with obsessive–compulsive disorder who had electrode implants in both anterior limbs of the internal capsules found that three showed at least 35% reduction in their baseline Y–BOCS scores with treatment. Mean Clinical Global Impression – Severity scores for the four subjects decreased from 5 to 3.3 during the ‘stimulation on’ period (Gabriëls et al, 2003). In one patient, there was marked improvement in depressive symptoms, which returned intensely with suicidality after stimulation was discontinued. Comparing the cases, there was wide variation in stimulation parameters, and comment was made regarding problems with battery life.

Mallet et al (2002) reported significant improvement in obsessive and compulsive symptoms in two patients with obsessive–compulsive disorder and Parkinson’s disease who had electrodes implanted in the area of the anteromedial part of the subthalamic nucleus and zona incerta. This raises interesting questions about selecting the optimal sites for deep brain stimulation in psychiatric disorders.

**Deep brain stimulation and mood**

There are few studies on the effect of deep brain stimulation on mood. A study of 12 patients with Parkinson’s disease who received deep brain stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus reported improvements in self-reported mood, HRSD scores and emotional memory during stimulation, with no change in cognitive performance (Schneider et al, 2003). Conversely, Berney et al (2002) reported a significant worsening of mood state in 6 of 24 patients who received subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation for Parkinson’s disease. There have been reports, during deep brain stimulation, of transient depression (Bejjani et al, 1999), involuntary laughter (Kumar et al, 1999) and mania (Kulisevsky et al, 2002). There are, as yet, no published reports of deep brain stimulation as a treatment for depression.

**Conclusions**

In the foreseeable future, neurosurgery for mental disorder will remain a highly specialised treatment alternative for a small number of patients with severe and chronic treatment-refractory anxiety and depressive disorders. Ablative neurosurgery for mental disorder will never be validated by classical randomised controlled trials, but can be evaluated by careful prospective audit. Detailed pre- and post-operative assessments, including advanced neuropsychology and neuroimaging, will help us to evaluate neurosurgical procedures and their outcomes. Mechanisms of therapeutic action may be identified. The use of irreversible ablative treatments may diminish with the introduction of potentially less hazardous reversible interventions such as vagus nerve stimulation and deep brain stimulation. Substantive, robust, controlled and blinded trials involving vagus nerve stimulation and deep brain stimulation may be possible.
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Multiple choice questions

1 The following are current indications for neurosurgery for mental disorder:
   a obsessive–compulsive disorder
   b schizophrenia
   c social phobia
   d major depression
   e bipolar affective disorder.

2 Neurosurgical procedures for mental disorder performed in the UK today include:
   a limbic leucotomy
   b anterior capsulotomy
   c subcaudate tractotomy
   d cingulotomy
   e amygdalotomy.

3 The following names are correctly associated with the procedure they pioneered:
   a Lars Leksell – limbic leucotomy
   b Geoffrey Knight – subcaudate tractotomy
   c Desmond Kelly – anterior capsulotomy
   d Foltz and White – anterior cingulotomy
   e Walter Freeman – transorbital leucotomy.

4 The following statements are correct:
   a anterior capsulotomy targets frontothalamic circuits
   b anterior cingulotomy interrupts fibres just below the head of the caudate nucleus
   c confusion occurs in 80% of patients following surgery
   d all procedures have a high intra-operative mortality
   e seizures occur in about 2% of patients after surgery.

5 Rate the following statements as true or false:
   a in vagus nerve stimulation, the vagus nerve is stimulated in the brain-stem
   b a frequent side-effect of vagus nerve stimulation is altered voice
   c deep brain stimulation causes impaired cognitive performance
   d deep brain stimulation for obsessive–compulsive disorder involves implanted electrodes in the same area as the lesions in anterior capsulotomy
   e deep brain stimulation can be switched on and off.
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MCQ answers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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