Illicit drug use is the practice of consuming a legally restricted substance in a manner contrary to the moral or traditional practices of a particular culture. In 2005/2006, over 10% of adults between 16 and 59 years of age had used illicit drugs in the previous year (Home Office 2006). The use of ‘Class A drugs’ (usually heroin or cocaine) was over 3%, mainly because of the rise in cocaine use. In younger adults aged between 16 and 24, illicit drug use was over 25% in the previous year; 17% of children aged 11–15 reported taking drugs in the previous year and 9% in the past month (Home Office 2006). The prevalence in mental health services is much higher: 25% of adults between 16 and 59 years of age had used illicit drugs in the previous year and 9% in the past month (Home Office 2006). The use of ‘Class A drugs’ (usually heroin or cocaine) was over 3%, mainly because of the rise in cocaine use. In younger adults aged between 16 and 24, illicit drug use was over 25% in the previous year; 17% of children aged 11–15 reported taking drugs in the previous year and 9% in the past month (Home Office 2006).

When patients present with a reliable history of psychiatric symptoms and clinical signs are consistent with the history, drug testing is not requested routinely in everyday clinical practice. Even so, it is sometimes good practice to support a diagnosis with testing, especially when the patient is new to mental health services or has a history of illicit drug use. When the history is not available or if it does not match the clinical symptoms/signs, it becomes necessary to carry out drug tests to establish a diagnosis. In the drug and alcohol sector, drug analysis can be classified as used either primarily for screening purposes or for confirmatory purposes (Box 1). Ideally, the standard procedure should involve a highly sensitive screening technique, followed by use of a highly specific confirmatory technique for samples identified as positive. Most screening procedures use immunoassays, which allow large-scale screening through automation and rapid detection (Armbruster 1993). They are therefore ideal for home-testing kits, near-patient tests or point-of-care screenings, as testing the specimen, obtaining the results and interpretation can all be done near the patient without the use of specialised laboratory settings. Immunoassay techniques include cloned enzyme donor immunoassay (CEDIA), enzyme-multiplied immunoassay technique (EMIT), fluorescence polarisation immunoassay, immunoturbidimetric assay and radioimmunoassay.

When patients present with a reliable history of psychiatric symptoms and clinical signs are consistent with the history, drug testing is not requested routinely in everyday clinical practice. Even so, it is sometimes good practice to support a diagnosis with testing, especially when the patient is new to mental health services or has a history of illicit drug use. When the history is not available or if it does not match the clinical symptoms/signs, it becomes necessary to carry out drug tests to establish a diagnosis. In the drug and alcohol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BOX 1</th>
<th>Comparison of screening and confirmatory drug tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-the-spot screening by immunoassays: usually sufficient (even in drug and alcohol services) given a good history, and a mental state and physical examination</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmatory laboratory tests: indicated if there is a diagnostic dilemma, if the patient requests such a test or disputes a screening result, or if there are serious implications to a positive result (e.g. in a drug rehabilitation requirement programme or child protection cases)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
setting, testing is essential before substitute prescribing of methadone or buprenorphine. In all the above cases, a screening test is often sufficient.

However, there are situations where the patient denies the use of drugs despite positive screening tests. It then becomes important to perform a confirmatory test, as screening tests can yield false-positive results. For example, codeine is a common constituent in cold cures and cross-reacts with tests for heroin. Hence the need for a confirmatory test in the form of chromatography. A confirmatory test is also required in situations where there are ominous implications for the diagnosis of illicit drug use. This is so in criminal justice, the workplace, sports medicine and child protection. Chromatographic techniques are the most accurate, specific and reliable method of testing. The disadvantage is that they are time-consuming, expensive, require a laboratory and high level of expertise to perform. Several different types of chromatographic techniques are used in laboratories for urine drug analysis: gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) provides the most specific type of analytical tool. Generally, GC–MS is performed only after the screening test.

The United Kingdom Accreditation Service (UKAS) is the sole national accreditation body recognised by the government to assess, against internationally agreed standards, organisations that provide certification, testing, inspection and calibration services for drug testing. In the UK, laboratories are expected to possess a UKAS certification before providing drug-testing services.

**Methods**

Drug testing is a procedure involving three stages: collection of specimens, laboratory analysis and then interpretation of results based on the indication (Saxon 1988).

The types of drugs tested for and the methods used vary depending on the needs at various settings. The presence of a drug itself in a specimen does not prove illicit use. It could suggest accidental or unavoidable contamination. For example, many British bank notes, which have been used by drug misusers, are contaminated with cocaine and this can be detected on the hands of bank staff. Similarly, police who raid illicit drug warehouses are likely to be contaminated by aerosols and powder. The detection of the parent drug in this case does not indicate consumption but contamination. When illicit drugs are ingested they are metabolised and the metabolites along with the parent drug are excreted in body fluids. Presence of metabolites therefore confirms consumption and not just contamination. For this reason, many tests are designed to detect the presence of drug metabolites (e.g. 6-monoacetylmorphine – a metabolite of heroin) rather than the parent drug itself.

Specimens used in mental health services for drug testing include urine, oral fluid and blood (Table 1). Other specimens such as sweat and hair are more commonly used in forensic settings and in medico-legal cases but rarely, if ever, used in mental health settings.

**Urine**

The most commonly used specimen in mental health settings continues to be urine. Commercial drug-testing kits can detect up to ten different drugs, which include barbiturates. Barbiturate misuse is rare and hence testing is often unnecessary unless there is a clinical suspicion of misuse. The other nine drugs include amphetamine, methamphetamine, 3, 4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA or ‘ecstasy’), cocaine, cannabis, methadone, opiates, benzodiazepines and tricyclic antidepressants.

Urine can be used for both screening and confirmatory tests. Immunoassay kits vary in cost from £4 to £6, depending on the number of kits bought and whether the panel consists of one-drug, five-drug or up to eight-drug tests. Urine drug tests are easy to administer and costs are lower than for other types of drug testing. Results are almost instant and proprietary dipstick tests (near-patient testing) mean that a laboratory or a technician is not essential. The kits are easy to store and have a long shelf life. For these reasons they are the first choice for frequent, random drug testing in mental health services.

There are, however, several drawbacks. There is often a 3-day window of detection (duration of detection after drug consumption), depending on the individual and the substance used. There is the need of a bathroom to obtain the sample. The specimen is easier to adulterate than others and therefore it may need to be taken under direct observation. This can be degrading and embarrassing (Dolan 2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>Easy to administer, inexpensive and instant results in near-patient tests</td>
<td>Easy adulteration, need for a toilet, invasive or embarrassing for donors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saliva</td>
<td>Easy collection, donor-friendly, hard to adulterate, non-invasive, no need for toilet</td>
<td>Detection window varies widely, contamination by smoked drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Most recent use, detects ‘under the influence’ states, hard to adulterate</td>
<td>Very invasive and difficult to collect, short detection window</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oral fluid

Oral fluid is the serous transudate extracted osmotically from the buccal mucosa by the testing pad. Drug tests are donor-friendly and non-invasive. The specimen can be collected easily under direct observation in virtually any environment without the loss of privacy. It is difficult to adulterate and substitute false samples. Methods are available to give results in minutes by near-patient tests using dipsticks or portable card readers. It is also useful when multiple serial samples are needed in child protection (Kim 2002). Child protection proceedings often require confirmation of illicit drug use or repeated confirmation of abstinence. This is particularly important in cases where the child is in care or thought to be at risk owing to parental drug misuse. It is regarded as the best method for testing very recent drug use. It is a very important tool for therapeutic drug monitoring and assessment of drug-impaired driving, especially since there is a correlation between drug concentrations in oral fluids and blood (Pehrsson 2008).

The main disadvantage of this method is the short detection window. Depending on the variation in the rate of oral fluid production or the type/density of the drug used, the detection window ranges from a few hours to 2–3 days. Drugs that are smoked or ingested orally lead to higher concentrations because of residual amounts of the compound in the oral cavity, therefore tests may be inaccurate. It can be inconvenient to obtain samples if the patient’s mouth is dry or if there is a failure to produce adequate amounts of oral fluid. Patients may also try to disrupt samples by chewing other substances.

Blood

Blood tests detect recent drug use (over the past few hours). Therefore, if an incident is suspected to be the result of drug use, the best sample to be tested near the time of the incident is the blood. This can clearly show that the person continues to be under the influence of the drug shortly after the incident. Blood tests are done mostly as part of routine investigations in patients attending mental health services, to monitor physical health.

In alcohol misuse, the individual’s blood can be used to estimate blood alcohol concentrations. Breath alcohol concentrations are more convenient and a reasonable estimate of blood alcohol levels can be made from these. Blood tests are, however, not an accurate indicator of the extent of liver damage nor of the current level of drinking. For a detailed account on alcohol and blood investigation, see Drummond & Ghodse (1999).

In drug misuse, blood tests are done to assess baseline health status at first assessment. The British National Formulary urges caution in the prescription of substitute drugs such as methadone in conditions such as hypothyroidism and renal problems. However, clinicians often fail to perform thyroid function tests and other routine blood tests before starting methadone, as complications arising from thyroid or renal disease are uncommon in otherwise healthy drug users. Blood tests also form a very important part of screening intravenous drug users for blood-borne diseases such as hepatitis and HIV.

Collection of samples

The drug concentration in specimens depends on route of administration, time since consumption and the person’s physiological state. If the results are expected to come under legal challenge, the specimen is ideally handled according to ‘chain of custody’ procedure. This is more often used in law enforcement, the workplace and sports medicine. It involves a legally defensible process that can be submitted in court as proof that the final result belongs to the patient. It involves the patient, staff member and laboratory staff signing various documents as the sample proceeds through the analysis process (Workplace Drug Testing Forum 2001). It is rarely used in mental health settings, where the procedure for the collection of samples varies with the indication and setting of the test. Specimens are always collected under circumstances that respect the individual’s dignity.

Accident and emergency

In accident and emergency (A&E) departments and Section 136 suites (places of safety for the assessment of an individual placed under Section 136 of the Mental Health Act 1983), acute presentations of psychiatric disorders are common. For a new patient or a known patient with a presentation that is not in keeping with their relapse signature, a drug screen becomes invaluable to aid diagnosis. A patient with known drug misuse can also present when they have had too much (intoxication) or too little (withdrawal) of their primary drug of misuse. Here, the accuracy of the test is less important. What is more of a challenge is the act of collection itself as many individuals can be too disturbed to issue a specimen. Clinicians should consider oral fluid collection in these instances.

Acute psychiatric wards

In acute psychiatric wards, measures are usually taken to ensure a valid sample during collection. Direct observation by staff of specimen donation is
probably the simplest of these. Patients often resist direct observation, but a degree of supervision needs to be discussed with the patient. If this is inadvisable, oral fluid testing, in which direct observation may be perceived as less intrusive, may be used.

With the urine sample, a first morning specimen is the most concentrated and represents the ideal specimen. The donor could be requested to leave all possible belongings, including outer garments, outside the collection room. People have been known to scoop water from a commode or sink to dilute the sample. Using a urinal instead of a commode and adding a dye in the toilet water can prevent this. Placing the sink outside the urine collection area is another method to prevent dilution (Saxon 1988).

**Drug and alcohol services**

In drug and alcohol services, the patient group is quite diverse. There are those who are well motivated, engage well with services and have a history of giving illicit drug-free specimens for a substantial period. Here, rigorous measures to collect specimens are not indicated. Supervised collection (direct observation) is desirable for individuals living with young and vulnerable children with whom Social Services are actively involved. It is also desirable for those with poor adherence, those who have a history of tampering with specimens, those whose clinical presentation does not match presenting history, and those under probation services who are on a court order for drug testing (e.g. drug rehabilitation requirement programme). In such situations, oral fluid testing may be more appropriate as it may not always be possible to arrange for directly observed urine sample collection.

**Assessing integrity of samples – false negatives**

Urine tampering refers collectively to methods used to falsify a urine sample so that illicit substance use is not detected (Jaffee 2007). This yields a false-negative result. Methods of tampering fall into three categories: *in vivo* adulteration, which involves ingestion of a chemical before micturition (including simple dilution through the excess consumption of water); *in vitro* adulteration, which involves addition of a chemical to a sample after micturition; and urine substitution in which a sample from another (presumably abstinent) person is used.

**Validity of the specimen**

Details such as volume, appearance, colour, pH, temperature and specific gravity can ascertain the validity of a specimen and may be tested and documented. A healthy sample is typically translucent and light yellow in appearance. It is advisable to record the temperature within 4 min of collection; it should be 32°C to 38°C (United States Department of Transportation 2009). The pH for normal urine fluctuates throughout the day, but usually is in the range of 4.5–8.0. Specimen contamination should be suspected if the pH level is less than 3 or greater than 11, or if the specific gravity is less than 1.002 or greater than 1.020. Urinary creatinine concentration of less than 20 mg/dl is considered dilute, whereas concentration of less than 5 mg/dl is inconsistent with human urine. Urinary nitrite levels should be less than 500 µg/ml (Casavant 2002).

**Urine tampering**

The immunoassay kits often have a temperature strip attached to the cup. This is the most frequently used measure of the sample integrity. Other measures mentioned earlier require a laboratory to assess the urine sample and one can request a laboratory analysis if one suspects the sample to be adulterated. A simple test to determine whether substances such as soap have been added to the urine is to shake the sample. Excessive bubble formation that is long lasting can indicate an attempt to tamper with the specimen (Warner 1989). In the past, patients often added methadone mixture directly to samples to produce a positive test when they had been suspected of selling all their methadone prescription. However, most enzyme immunoassays now detect this as the preservatives in methadone mixture effectively inactivate the enzyme process and produce an invalid result that is immediately recognisable on the test strip. Also, one can test for the methadone metabolite ethylene dimethyl diphenyl pyrrolidine (EDDP) that is seen only in those who have consumed and metabolised methadone, rather than those who add it directly to their urine.

**Urine substitution**

Liquid drain cleaner, chlorine bleach, liquid soap, ammonia, hydrogen peroxide, lemon juice and eye drops have all been used to manipulate urine. Other products containing glutaraldehyde, sodium or potassium nitrate, peroxyide and peroxydase, and pyridinium chlorochromate are sold to falsify urine specimens (Jaffee 2007). Several products, such as Intect 7, Adultacheck 4 and Mask Ultra Screen, can be used to check for the presence of adulterants (Peace 2002). They are convenient, easy-to-use dipstick devices that identify adulterants commonly associated with
attempts to interfere with the accuracy of a drug test. Unfortunately, these kits are too expensive to be used routinely in mental health settings.

Storage

The specimen should be kept in locked storage at a temperature of 4°C. This is to preserve its integrity. Long-term storage requires −15°C. In medico-legal cases, laboratories are expected to retain all samples confirmed positive for at least a year unless otherwise instructed by the customer. After this period, laboratories are required to store samples only if the customer has requested them to do so or if the samples are under legal challenge (Workplace Drug Testing Forum 2001).

Drugs and their metabolism

Understanding the metabolism of the various drugs in the body and their main metabolites is crucial in making accurate interpretations of drug test results (Box 2). The metabolism and its relevance to drug testing will now be discussed.

Amphetamines

There are a large number of synthetic, chemically related amphetamine-like compounds that are misused. Most amphetamine assays are designed to detect amphetamine and commonly misused chemical analogues such as methamphetamine, methylenedioxymethamphetamine, methylene dioxyethylamphetamine and MDMA.

The amphetamine immunoassay does not distinguish between the two isomers of methamphetamine, d-methamphetamine and l-methamphetamine (l-desoxyephedrine). The former is responsible for the central stimulant effects, whereas the latter mainly works peripherally and has no euphoric effects (Eskridge 1997). L-methamphetamine is an active ingredient in some over-the-counter nasal decongestants causing false-positive results when taken in sufficient quantities. Another problem is that the sensitivity for MDMA is about 50% less than for amphetamine and methamphetamine. Tests incorporating three monoclonal antibodies specific for amphetamine, methamphetamine and MDMA resulting in greater sensitivity for detection of MDMA should be considered if MDMA use is suspected (Hsu 2003).

Benzodiazepines

The widespread use of prescribed benzodiazepines makes it difficult to distinguish between therapeutic use and misuse of these substances with a drug screen. Tests do not distinguish between single use, long-standing use, harmful use and dependence. They detect oxazepam and nordiazepam, the primary metabolites of most benzodiazepine drugs. After ingestion, highly lipophilic agents such as diazepam are detected within minutes in serum and within 36 h in the urine (Laloup 2007). Agents that are extensively metabolised with long half-lives (e.g. diazepam, chlordiazepoxide) can be detected in the urine for more than a week after ingestion (Table 2).

Studies have reported false-negative results with immunoassay screening for benzodiazepines compared with gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS). This is the main reason for underestimating the problem of benzodiazepine misuse. An additional drawback of immunoassay is its inability to identify specific benzodiazepines (Borrey 2003). Many patients start using a single benzodiazepine after it has been prescribed on a temporary basis. Unfortunately, this can contribute to long-term misuse and dependence. Benzodiazepines are widely accessible on the black market and over the internet. Benzodiazepine withdrawal should be considered in patients presenting with anxiety symptoms.

The GC–MS method offers several advantages over immunoassay. It has better sensitivity and thus detects benzodiazepines at lower levels, when the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug Detection times in urine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drug</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamines and analogues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultra short acting (e.g. midazolam)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short acting (e.g. triazolam)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate acting (e.g. temazepam, chlordiazepoxide)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long acting (e.g. diazepam, nitrazepam)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buprenorphine and metabolites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine metabolite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methadone (maintenance dosing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codeine, dihydrocodeine, morphine, propoxyphene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabinoids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate use (three times a week)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy use (daily)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic heavy use (more than three times daily)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Department of Health 2007.
assay fails. It identifies the type of benzodiazepine being used and therefore allows clinicians to detect if more than one type of benzodiazepine is being used. Hence it can be useful in separating prescription drugs from those that are acquired off the streets. If there is high index of suspicion that any of the above is happening, then GC–MS can help identify such cases.

Cannabinoids (marijuana)

Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (delta 9-THC) is the most psychoactive chemical in the cannabis plant. Tetrahydrocannabinol has high lipid solubility. The extensive storage of the drug in body fat and its slow excretion lead to positive urine tests up to 4 days after even a single use of marijuana. Long-term use can produce positive results in the urine up to 45 days after cessation (Department of Health 2007).

Urine drug screens are designed to detect the primary metabolites of cannabis, especially 11-nor-delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol-9-carboxylic acid (delta-9-carboxy-THC). Oral fluid testing can also be used. This offers the advantage of detecting delta-9-carboxy-THC directly, which is sequestered in the oral mucosa, rather than metabolites, which appear at a later stage. Thus, the oral fluid test can be used to indicate recent use when it is likely that the person is still experiencing the pharmacological effects of the drug. As seen with other drugs, GC–MS is more sensitive and has a longer detection window than screening tests (Niedbala 2001).

A commonly encountered problem is differentiating between active and passive smokers of cannabis, as even passive smokers can test positive for THC. The difference is that active smokers have a biphasic pattern of decline in oral fluid THC concentration, whereas passive smokers have a linear decline. It is argued that the initial peak is due to the local sequestration of THC and the second peak is due to secretion into the oral fluid from the THC absorbed into the blood stream, which happens to a greater extent in active smokers. For the same reason, urine drug screens are more likely to be positive in active smokers (Niedbala 2004). Also, the saliva test remains positive for only around 30 min after passive ingestion, unlike in active smokers where it remains positive for hours or even days.

Cocaine

Cocaine stimulates the central nervous system and is misused primarily for its euphoric effect. It is used through different routes: inhalation (snorting), oral, intravenous and smoked. It is metabolised by butyrylcholinesterase and liver esterase into inactive metabolites. It has up to eight metabolites, the main metabolite being benzoylecgonine. The half-life of a single dose of cocaine in blood is about 30–90 min. After brief periods of cocaine use the metabolite is detectable in urine for up to 3 days. Repeated dosing extends oral fluid detection times for cocaine approximately fourfold and benzoylecgonine detection times sevenfold, whereas urine benzoylecgonine detection is extended twofold (Jufer 2006). Immunoassay can detect only the main metabolite and it cannot differentiate between the various other metabolites, which can only be done by GC–MS. The latter also detects the metabolites at lower concentrations. The concurrent use of cocaine and alcohol may result in the accumulation of a distinct metabolite, cocaethylene, which is longer lasting and accounts for enhanced subjective effects and toxicity (Polti 2007). It is only detected by chromatography methods.

Opioids

The term opiate refers to naturally occurring or semi-synthetic drugs such as morphine, codeine and diamorphine (heroin), whereas the term opioid includes natural and completely synthetic agents such as methadone and buprenorphine which have morphine-like actions (e.g. analgesia, sedation, constipation, respiratory depression). Morphine and codeine are naturally occurring alkaloids from the opium poppy seed (Papaver somniferum).

Opiate drug screens

Most drug screens for opiates detect morphine, which is also the primary metabolite of heroin and codeine. Morphine is further metabolised to 3-morphine-glucuronide and 6-morphine-glucuronide. The 3-morphine-glucuronide accounts for 50% of morphine in urine. About 10–15% of codeine is converted to nor-codeine and is also detected in urine.

One common problem with opiate drug screens (immunoassays) is that they tend not to detect completely synthetic agents such as methadone and buprenorphine, which are widely used in the treatment of opioid addiction. Moreover, they often cross-react with over-the-counter medications containing codeine and dihydrocodeine (e.g. cold cures, mild analgesics). Often, GC–MS is required to distinguish between these compounds.

Fentanyl and oxycodone are not detected in urine screens: the former because of lack of metabolites, and the latter because of its derivation from thebaine, a compound that is not detected in the urine (Purdue Pharma LP 2009).
Heroin (diacetylmorphine) is more potent and has a more rapid onset of action than morphine. Heroin is rapidly metabolised to 6-monoacetylmorphine (6-MAM), morphine and morphine glucuronide. Heroin can be detected in the serum 3–5 min after ingestion, and the metabolite, morphine, up to 2–4 days after heroin use. Detection of 6-MAM by GC–MS is used as a confirmatory test for heroin use as it is not produced by morphine or codeine. Unfortunately, as the 6-MAM metabolite has a short half-life of 36 min, it is detected in the urine only up to 8 h after heroin use (Cone 1993).

Opioid drug screens

**Methadone** Methadone is a long-acting synthetic opioid that is used as substitution treatment for opioid dependence and chronic pain. About a third of the drug is excreted unchanged. Assays are designed to detect the parent compound and hence are specific. There is no need for a confirmatory test. The standard screening procedure is designed to detect the presence of the primary methadone metabolite EDDP in urine. In individuals where EDDP is detected by the specific initial immunoassay screen and where they are known to have been prescribed methadone, no further confirmatory testing needs to be performed. In individuals where methadone is not prescribed but EDDP is detected, or in those thought to be non-adherent and adding methadone to their urine, specimens need to be screened for the presence of both methadone and EDDP. It is therefore important to indicate whether or not methadone is prescribed, or if adulteration of urine specimen by the individual is suspected (Lancelin 2005).

**Buprenorphine** Buprenorphine is a long-acting synthetic opioid that is also used as substitution treatment for opioid dependence. It can also be combined with the opiate antagonist naloxone to treat opiate addiction. Buprenorphine undergoes extensive first-pass metabolism and therefore has very low oral bio-availability; however, its bio-availability sublingually is extensive enough to make this a feasible route of administration for the treatment of opioid dependence.

The mean time to maximum plasma concentration following sublingual administration is variable, ranging from 40 min to 3.5 h. Buprenorphine has a large volume of distribution and is highly protein bound (96%). It is extensively metabolised to norbuprenorphine (the main metabolite) primarily through cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4. The terminal elimination half-life of buprenorphine is long and there is considerable variation in reported values (mean values ranging from 3 to 44 h). Most of a dose of buprenorphine is eliminated in the faeces, with about 10–30% excreted in urine. The presence of naloxone does not appear to influence the pharmacokinetics of buprenorphine (Elkader 2005). Even though the GC–MS method is the most accurate and specific, more recent assay methods are fairly specific (only 1% cross-reactivity with other opioids) and sensitive (95% accuracy) (Wang 2007). Hence an assay is adequate in the mental health setting.

**Analysis**

**Cut-off levels**

The presence of a particular drug itself does not in itself constitute a positive result for substance misuse. Tests can be oversensitive. They can also detect very low levels of substances that cross-react with the tests for illicit drugs (e.g. over-the-counter painkillers containing codeine, bread containing poppy seeds). This has led to the adoption of cut-off levels above which it is very unlikely that other naturally occurring compounds will cross-react and the specimen can be reliably declared to indicate use of illegal drugs. This differs from the ‘sensitivity’ or ‘detection limit’ of the test, which is the absolute lowest detectable analyte concentration that will produce a positive result in a multitude of circumstances besides illicit drug use (e.g. occupational exposure to illicit drugs or ‘passive smoking’ of cannabis) (Saxon 1988).

In North America, cut-off levels in the Federal workplace drug-testing programme were established in the mid-1980s. In the UK, even though there are no mandatory requirements for cut-off levels, most laboratories follow those recommended by the US Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) (Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology 2004).

**Interpretation**

**When to order a drug test**

Illicit drug use can cause physical, mental and behavioural disturbances which may mimic psychiatric disorders. Therefore it needs to be considered in the differential diagnosis. A drug test aids in moving from a provisional to a confirmed diagnosis. If the history, mental status examination and physical examination are consistent with each other and suggest illicit drug use, a screening test (immunoassay) is sufficient to confirm the diagnosis after other cross-reacting products (see below) are ruled out. If there is a diagnostic dilemma, one can order a confirmatory test (chromatography). A positive screen does not constitute illicit drug use. There are numerous products that can cross-react with the test and cause false positives,
including psychotropic medications. This can lead to unnecessary disagreements with the patient and destroy therapeutic alliance. When in doubt, a confirmatory test is invaluable. A confirmatory test may also be ordered when the patient requests one because they dispute the results of the screening test.

**False-positive results**

If a person takes an illicit drug and the test result is positive, then the test result is declared as a ‘true positive’. If, however, the patient has not taken the drug but has a positive test result then the test result is declared as ‘false positive’ (Box 3).

**Amphetamine**

The common Vicks® nasal inhaler contains an isomer of amphetamine and cross-reacts with older immunoassay tests when used in large quantities. Newer EMIT tests however do not show positive results with the Vicks® nasal inhaler even when used up to twice the recommended dose (Poklis 1995). Many over-the-counter medications contain pseudoephedrine, phenylpropanolamine, ephedrine and phenylephrine. Cross-reactivities for these medications are greater than reported by the manufacturer (Stout 2004). Anti-Parkinsonian drugs like selegiline and deprenyl produce l-amphetamine and l-methamphetamine metabolites, which give a positive result on immunoassays (Romberg 1995). Unfortunately, a routine chromatography will not distinguish between the two isomers and it requires chiral chromatography to differentiate between the d- and l-forms (Cody 2002).

**Benzodiazepine**

Oxaprozin is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) marketed for treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis. It cross-reacts with benzodiazepine (Fraser 1998). Older assay techniques cross-reacted with sertraline and its metabolites, but the newer, improved CEDIA benzodiazepine assay eliminates cross-reactivity (Fitzgerald 1997).

A problem that is encountered in both mental health and substance misuse clinics is the misuse of both prescribed and non-prescribed benzodiazepines. In individuals prescribed benzodiazepines, an assay does not give any additional information. It does not detect the different types of benzodiazepines and therefore is unable to inform us whether more than one benzodiazepine is being used. Multiple benzodiazepine misuse is common and chromatography methods are the only way of detecting the use of benzodiazepines that are not prescribed (Garretty 1997).

**Cannabis**

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs have been reported to interfere and cause false-positive results for cannabis assays, although conflicting results have been reported (Rollins 1990; Joseph 1995). It is speculated that NSAIDs interfere with the enzyme on the EMIT tests, leading to false-positive results. Other agents that have been shown...

---

**Box 3** Agents with potential to cause false positives in immunoassay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amphetamine</th>
<th>Cocaine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amantadine, amphetamine analogues, benzphetamine, bupropion, chlorproazine, clomipramine, desipramine, ephedrine, labetalol, mebeverine, methylphenidate, perazine, phenothiazines, phenylephrine, phenylpropanolamine, promethazine pseudoephedrine, ranitidine, ritodrine, selegiline thioridazine, trazodone, trimipramine</td>
<td>Derivatives of coca plant, topical anaesthetics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opioids</th>
<th>Phencyclidine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Codeine, poppy seeds, quinolones, rifampicin, verapamil</td>
<td>Diphenhydramine, ibuprofen, ketamine, thioridazine, tramadol, venlafaxine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methadone</th>
<th>Phencyclidine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phenothiazines, clomipramine, verapamil, doxylamine, diphenhydramine</td>
<td>Diphenhydramine, ibuprofen, ketamine, thioridazine, tramadol, venlafaxine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benzodiazepines</th>
<th>Methadone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxaprozin, sertraline</td>
<td>Phenothiazines, clomipramine, verapamil, doxylamine, diphenhydramine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cannabis</th>
<th>Methadone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, efavirenz, proton pump inhibitors, hemp-containing food items</td>
<td>Phenothiazines, clomipramine, verapamil, doxylamine, diphenhydramine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to cross-react with cannabinoid immunoassays include efavirenz (la Porte 2006), a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor used to treat HIV/AIDS, and some proton pump inhibitors used for peptic ulcer disease (Wyeth 2009).

In the past, there have been concerns raised about testing positive for cannabis through passive exposure and consuming certain food items. Studies have shown that it is highly unlikely for an individual to test positive for THC by urine immunoassay through passive exposure (Perez-Reyes 1983). More recent urine testing procedures ensure that cannabinoids from foods (e.g. hemp-seed tea, hemp-seed oil) are beneath the cut-off concentrations for both EMIT and GC–MS tests (Steinagle 1999).

Cocaine

Urine screens for cocaine are very accurate in detecting recent cocaine ingestion. Previously, amoxicillin has been causally linked to false-positive urine drug screens for cocaine metabolites. More recent reports conclude that this is unlikely (Reisfield 2008). Coca tea, derived from the same plant as cocaine, is commonly ingested in South America. This and other natural products derived from coca plant leaves produce positive cocaine screen results (Mazor 2006). Positive results in urine samples can also be seen in children exposed to cocaine in heavily contaminated environments (De Giorgio 2004).

Opioids

Ingestion of over-the-counter medications that contain codeine (e.g. cough medicines, medications for diarrhoea) must be excluded before confirming opioid misuse. As suggested, poppy seed ingestion can also lead to positive results and hence the US Department of Health and Human Services raised the cut-off level in 1998. Rifampicin, a drug usually used in the treatment of tuberculosis, interferes with opiate immunoassays (Daher 2002). Other drugs that contribute to false-positive urine screens for opiates are the quinolone group of antibiotics (Baden 2001) and cardiac drugs such as verapamil (Lichtenwalner 1998).

Methadone

Psychotropic drugs such as phenothiazines (levomepromazine, chlorpromazine), clomipramine and thioridazine can cause a positive test result. False-positive results for methadone have been reported with other techniques and were attributable to metabolites of verapamil, diphenhydramine and doxylamine (Lancelin 2005).

Conclusions

Illicit drug use and its detection have important ramifications in general mental health services (Box 4). Psychiatrists need to be more proactive in requesting tests in a scientific manner based on drug detection windows, which will in turn depend on the specimen used and the drug in question. Although urine continues to be the most commonly used specimen, oral fluids have many advantages and should be considered more often. Ideally, analysis of specimens should look at metabolites rather than the parent drug, as presence of the latter could indicate external contamination rather than ingestion.

On-the-spot or near-patient tests are screening tests: they are convenient and quick but have the potential for both under- (false negatives) and overreporting (false positives) the presence of drugs (Table 3). For many reasons screening tests will continue to be used widely until there are easier-to-use, less expensive confirmatory tests. It is therefore very important that psychiatrists gain competence in interpreting these tests. A wide array of benign substances, including common over-the-counter medications, can produce false-positive results. This should inform diagnostic formulations, as erroneous labelling can be even more serious than missing the diagnosis.
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MCQs
Select the single best option for each question stem

1 Regarding methods of drug testing:
   a urine testing is the most easy to administer, reliable and donor-friendly test
   b oral fluid is convenient to collect, hard to adulterate and donor friendly
   c hair testing has a short detection window
   d sweat is used to test a wide variety of drugs
   e the most commonly used sample in mental health settings is sweat.

2 The following are types of immunoassays:
   a enzyme-multiplied immunoassay technique (EMIT)
   b high performance liquid chromatography
   c magnetic resonance imaging
   d gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS)
   e near-patient tests.

3 In a healthy sample of urine:
   a the temperature is between 32°C and 38°C
   b the pH is between 2 and 4.5
   c the specific gravity is usually less than 1
   d the creatinine concentration is less than 5 mg/dl
   e urinary nitrite levels should be less than 500 μg/ml.

4 A medication that can potentially cause false-positive results with amphetamine assays is:
   a olanzapine
   b chlorpromazine
   c poppy seeds
   d ketamine
   e venlafaxine.

5 In interpreting the test results:
   a the presence of drugs in a sample is sufficient to conclude drug misuse
   b cut-off level and sensitivity are the same
   c a single use of cannabis shows a positive test result for 30 days after use
   d drug testing across Europe is standardised and results are easily comparable
   e a detailed history is vital for accurate interpretation of tests.