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SUMMARY
The mental state examination is unlike any other assessment in medicine. Karl Jaspers is largely attributed with describing the structure and method of its use. In the centenary year of Jaspers’ seminal work General Psychopathology, psychiatry can celebrate the mental state examination as part of its rational and secular heritage.
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‘How in the world is it possible to say what relieves a madman of responsibility until you know what makes a sane man responsible?’
Henry Maudsley

The mental state examination is unlike any other assessment in medicine. Karl Jaspers is largely attributed with describing the structure and method of its use. In the centenary year of Jaspers’ seminal work General Psychopathology, psychiatry can celebrate the mental state examination as part of its rational and secular heritage.

The modern description
It was Karl Jaspers who saw that examination of the individual case by biographical method was the basic source for all that counts as experience in psychopathology. Indeed, the case study remains a fundamental method of investigating psychopathology today. Barely 30, Jaspers wrote General Psychopathology in 1913, yet it was not translated into English until 1963, despite being already in its seventh edition (Trethowan 1988). In his preface to the first edition, Jaspers wished to stress that the task was not to learn the material, but rather ‘to learn to observe, ask questions, analyse and think in psychopathological terms.’ This was not a time of therapeutic optimism, and Jaspers recognised that although scientific principles and the knowledge of brain disease were important bases of knowledge, the social sciences were also relevant disciplines for the psychiatrist. His suggestions of how a patient might be examined can be found in the appendix of this work and he lays out the principles of his method in the introduction (Jaspers 1913).

Jaspers stressed the need for theory, recognised but did not aim to study the unconscious, and sought what he called a psychology of meaningful connections. He tried to delineate subjective from objective phenomena, acknowledging that the distinction was often problematic. In his ‘enquiry into connections’, arguably one of the more difficult aspects of the work to apprehend and one that has excited much critical writing, he distinguished between the term verstehen, the understanding of meaning from within, and erklaren, the explanation of causal connections from without. Fulford et al (2006), who discuss Jaspers and his philosophical legacy at length, derive an additional organising principle – that of form and content – for the purpose of their analysis.

Jaspers also thought it essential to grasp the complex unity of the whole phenomenon under...
The contemporary description

The British interest in descriptive psychopathology — for this is the term used to describe what the mental state examination aims to elicit — was late to arrive, but became decisively incorporated into the Royal College of Psychiatrists’ training and examinations structure. The first edition of Symptoms in the Mind (Sims 1988) provided some guidance, albeit somewhat daunting, for the trainee:

‘Eliciting the symptoms involves observing the whole repertoire of behaviour and listening to an extensive description of the internal state and then reducing this to a few summarizing sentences.’

How this was done was by use of the right words, trained observation, using one’s own capacity for emotion as a diagnostic tool, the importance of knowing why you were asking the question you asked, and by knowing when to pursue more detailed lines of enquiry.

Attempts to operationalise assessment of the mental state using checklists and rating scales have ultimately led to international collaborations and to the development of research diagnostic criteria for mental disorders. One of the first of these was a version by Spitzer et al (1964), and several modifications have been made since then, including the Present State Examination (PSE) by Wing et al (1967). The mental state examination is therefore an examination of extraordinary global and political significance.

Even as a mere checklist, and with no mention of the empathic method of applying it, the mental state examination has been described as fundamental to the assessment of capacity in a legal sense. So, for example, the British Medical Association in collaboration with the Law Society have written guidance for doctors and lawyers on just this subject (British Medical Association 2004) using the headings of that well-known formula (Box 1).

Much remains to be debated by medical historians and psychiatrists about the relationship between other phenomenologists and Jaspers and what kinds of mental state assessments were being used in Britain before Jaspers’ book was widely available. But this is an examination that is core to our specialty. It is a critical part of our method of clinical reasoning, encapsulated by Goldney & McFarlane (1986) as the ability to observe psychopathology, to engage in interpersonal interaction to elicit information and to apply academic knowledge to help solve a clinical problem.

The mental state examination, within the context of the psychiatric assessment, is a unique way of attempting to understand individual psychopathology and the patient’s perspective on this. So, as we approach the anniversary of Jaspers’ seminal work in 2013, psychiatrists can celebrate the mental state examination, and renewed attention to Jaspers’ insistence on the need to understand complex mental experience will resonate with both clinicians and patients.
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